UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,507	04/19/2004	Oliver J. Murphy	LYNN/0127	4287
24945 STREETS & S	7590 04/15/200 FEELE	EXAMINER		
13831 NORTH	WEST FREEWAY	MERCADO, JULIAN A		
SUITE 355 HOUSTON, TX 77040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/828,507	MURPHY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JULIAN MERCADO	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 I      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 56-73 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and the application are pending in the application and the application an	own from consideration.  For election requirement.	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctal.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

This Office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed December 22, 2009.

This Office action is made NON-FINAL in view of a new ground of rejection.

Claims 1-55 are pending for consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 8, 11 and 13-55 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn. The examiner notes applicant's assertion that the term "dry" in claims 8, 12, 13, 19, 20, 21, 40 and 43, otherwise a relative term, is defined in the specification as "neither solvated nor hydrated." (remarks on page 12)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-13, 16-24, 27-32 and 39-45 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based Gonzalez-Martin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,149,810) has been withdrawn. The examiner concedes with applicant's assertion that the membrane in Gonzalez-Martin et al. is converted to the proton form for use.

(new rejection)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-13, 16-24, 27-32 and 39-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plowman et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,654,109).

Plowman et al. teaches providing a membrane and electrode assembly with an electrocatalyst in intimate contact with a cation exchange membrane. See col. 3 line 51 et seq.. This membrane is in an alkali metal cation form, "[t]he pendent group is then in the -SO<sub>3</sub>-Na+ form...." Not that other cations other than -Na+ such as K+ may be used and that a conversion from the sulfonic flouride form to the alkali metal cation (e.g. Na+ or K+) is disclosed. See col. 8 line 6 et seq. This form is during a period without an electrical current passing through the membrane and electrode assembly.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

## (new rejection)

Claims 3, 14, 15, 25 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Plowman et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,654,109).

As to the electrocatalytic activity diminishing, as the membrane and electrode assembly provided for by Plowman et al. is identical to that disclosed and claimed by applicant for the

reasons discussed under the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection above, it would naturally flow to have, inherently, the same diminishing electrocatalytic activity as claimed, absent of a showing by applicant that the claimed invention distinguishes over the reference. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) and *In re Spada*, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

(new rejection)

Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plowman et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,654,109).

As to the hydroxide concentration and its duration of contact, absent of unexpected results it is asserted that these are optimizable parameters for result-effective variables, insofar as directly affecting the conversion of the perfluorinated sulfonic acid from its proton form. See col. 8 line 15 et seq. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)

(new rejection)

Claims 46-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plowman et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,149,810) in view of White (U.S. Pat. 4,311,567).

The teachings of Plowman et al. are discussed above.

For claim 46, Plowman et al. do not explicitly teach passing electrical current through the membrane and subsequently removing this potential. However, White teaches applying a potential of 2.4 to 2.6 volts for a predetermined period of time in the fabrication of a permionic membrane. See col. 6 line 1 et seq. The skilled artisan would find obvious to modify Plowman et al. by passing a potential through the membrane of and electrode assembly. The motivation

Application/Control Number: 10/828,507 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

for such a modification is to reduce the electroresistivity of the membrane. See col. 6 line 46 et

seq. and col. 7 line 10 et seq.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

/Julian Mercado/

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Application/Control Number: 10/828,507

Page 6

Art Unit: 1795